

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,719	07/02/2003	Jeffrey Nool	P1381.00 (PERCUS.179A)	3778
28399 7599 MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC. IP LEGAL DEPARTMENT 3576 UNOCAL PLACE SANTA ROSA. CA 95403			EXAMINER	
			KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3763	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	ELECTRONIC .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

rs.vasciplegal@medtronic.com

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/612,719	NOOL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHRISTOPHER D.	3763	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTOPHER D. KOHARSKI.

(3) Andrew Douglas(51,212).

(4) Josh Stowell.

Date of Interview: 27 February 2008.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \hbox{Type: a)} \boxtimes \hbox{ Telephonic } & \hbox{b)} \square \hbox{ Video Conference} \\ \hbox{c)} \square \hbox{ Personal [copy given to: 1)} \square \hbox{ applicant} & \hbox{2)} \square \hbox{ applicant's representative]} \\ \end{array}$

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: n/a.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-10 and 42-57.

Identification of prior art discussed: Martin (5,404,341), Melker et al. (5,328,480), Bagaosian et al. (6,152,909), Zadno-Azizi et al. (5,833,644) and Ha et al. (6,159,195).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and Applicant's Representative discussed proposed amendments to overcome the prior art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS TROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christopher D Koharski/ Examiner, Art Unit 3763 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.